

ND

PERFORMANCE T		

**Our School** 

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Average	Below Average	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Unsatisfactory	No

## PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Mathematics English/Language Arts Mathematics English/Language Arts

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Definition of Critical Terms

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

### EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	60	265	80
Percent satisfied with learning environment	78.3%	49.0%	74.4%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	86.7%	52.9%	57.9%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	31.7%	70.6%	72.0%

PERFORMANCE BY	
PERFURMANUE BY	

PACT PERFORMANCE	BY GR							
	,	PROTESTING	lested old Bi	allow Basic	/.c.	Proficient of	Advanced on Profi	dientanded Advanced
	olli	Ver Les	(ester/	ONE	Basic of	Profit /	Advan. Joh	ile Hally
	Emo	1942 0/0	0/08	0/	0/	0/0	0/0/6/	A. 3
			Er	iglish/Lar	iguage A	rts		
All students	915	98.8	36.8	45.2	16.6	1.5	18.0	17.6
Gender								
Male	465	99.4	43.8	41.0	14.0	1.2	15.2	17.6
emale	450	98.2	29.2	49.6	19.5	1.7	21.2	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group				4-1	00.0	1.0	04.0	47.0
White	625	98.7	32.7	45.4	20.3	1.6	21.9	17.6
African-American	262	98.9	46.3	43.7	8.7	1.3	10.0	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	16	100.0	43.8	50.0	6.3	N/A	6.3	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	783	99.2	30.8	48.5	19.0	1.7	20.6	17.6
Disabled	132	96.2	76.1	22.9	0.9	N/A	0.9	17.6
ligrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
lon-migrant	915	98.8	36.7	45.2	16.7	1.5	18.1	17.6
English Proficiency								
imited English proficient	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	913	98.8	36.5	45.3	16.7	1.5	18.2	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	369	98.6	44.6	42.1	12.7	0.6	13.3	17.6
ull-pay meals	546	98.9	31.7	47.1	19.2	2.0	21.2	17.6
All students	915	99.8	31.0	Mathe 45.1	matics 15.6	8.3	23.9	15.5
Gender	915	99.0	31.0	43.1	13.0	0.5	23.9	13.3
Male	465	99.8	29.0	44.9	17.1	9.0	26.1	15.5
emale	450	99.8	32.3	45.8	14.3	7.6	21.9	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	450	99.0	32.3	43.0	14.3	7.0	21.9	13.3
Vhite	625	99.8	23.8	47.8	18.0	10.3	28.4	15.5
African-American	262	99.6	47.0	39.1	10.0	3.9	13.9	15.5
sian/Pacific Islander	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
dispanic	16	100.0	43.8	25.0	25.0	6.3	31.3	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	5	100.0	43.0 N/A	25.0 N/A	25.0 N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
isability Status	5	100.0	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	15.5
Not disabled	783	99.7	25.8	47.2	17.6	9.4	27.0	15.5
Disabled	132	100.0	64.3	32.1	2.7	0.9	3.6	15.5
Migrant Status	132	100.0	04.3	JZ. I	2.1	0.9	3.0	13.3
ligrant	NI/A	0.0	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	15.5

N/A

30.6

N/A

30.4

39.5

25.0

0.0

99.8

100.0

99.8

99.7

99.8

N/A

15.7

N/A

15.8

13.8

16.9

N/A

8.3

N/A

8.4

4.7

10.6

N/A

45.3

N/A

45.5

42.0

47.4

N/A

915

913

369

546

2

Migrant

Non-migrant

Full-pay meals

English Proficiency
Limited English proficient

Non-limited English proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

N/A

24.1

N/A

24.1

18.5

27.6

## PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enolin	ald in olo	ies ologi	404. OF	Box 0/0	610	Adv olo broth
		/ <b>V</b> V	<u> </u>	/ 0,0	n/Langua	/		\ ala
$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 6	272	N/A	36.5	43.2	18.5	1.8	20.3
	Grade 7	281	N/A	25.5	52.9	20.9	0.7	21.6
	Grade 8	293	N/A	33.2	48.8	16.3	1.7	18.0
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 6	317	99.1	41.6	33.6	23.1	1.7	24.8
	Grade 7	285	99.6	33.6	51.5	14.1	0.8	14.9
	Grade 8	313	97.8	34.9	51.1	12.2	1.8	14.0

				IVI	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	272	N/A	29.0	48.9	14.3	7.7	22.1
	Grade 7	281	N/A	38.5	37.8	13.7	10.1	23.7
•	Grade 8	293	N/A	44.4	41.3	10.1	4.2	14.2
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	317	99.7	26.0	41.7	19.8	12.5	32.3
	Grade 7	285	100.0	35.4	44.1	12.9	7.6	20.5
	Grade 8	313	99.7	31.9	49.6	13.8	4.6	18.4

# SCHOOL PROFILE

o	ur School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 901)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	52.3%	Up from 36.5%	16.2%	14.4%
Retention rate	10.6%	Up from 8.9%	2.3%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	94.2%	Up from 93.9%	95.4%	95.2%
	11.4%	Up from 9.6%	16.2%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	13.9%	Down from 15.4%	14.9%	14.1%
	7.9%	Up from 5.3%	4.8%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	11.0%	Up from 8.7%	1.3%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.3%	Up from 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 62)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	59.7%	Up from 55.6%	49.0%	47.1%
Continuing contract teachers	82.3%	Down from 84.1%	85.5%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	84.0%	No change	86.3%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	94.6%	Down from 95.4%	95.3%	95.0%
	\$39,619	Up 1.3%	\$40,369	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	7.2 days	Up from 6.9 days	10.5 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	21.8 to 1	Up from 9.7 to 1	21.7 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	87.7%	Down from 89.0%	89.0%	88.9%
	\$5,575	Up 4.7%	\$5,659	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	62.4%	Up from 61.4%	62.3%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	70.1%	Down from 75.9%	94.5%	94.8%
SACS accreditation	ves	N/A	yes	yes
	, 50		, -0	,

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

		•		-
Δhhr	aviation.	e tor	Missina	I lata

					J		
N/A N	ot Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

DuBose Middle School is a suburban school consisting of 62 certified teachers and 925 students in grades 6 through 8. Our mission is providing students with skills necessary to be productive citizens and is reflected in our DuBose family attitude of being "Champions for Children."

High expectations have been set for our school community, and many of our goals were met with great success. Among our achievements were the following: thirty-five percent of students showed significant gains on PACT and seventh and eighth grade students participated in our mentoring program with local businesses. Students were offered the possibility of three years of band and French as exploratories. Over the summer, rising sixth graders visited DMS to pick up schedules, meet their teachers, and become familiar with the middle school concept. Data from the previous year's PACT helped faculty and teachers develop Academic Assistance Plans that included tutorial classes during exploratory blocks.

Improving math and reading scores continued as our academic challenge. Assistants were hired to support teachers by addressing math deficiencies. Students received additional support through the computer lab and portable lab. "Moving with Math," a supplemental math program, provided hands-on experiences in a tutorial setting. The reading programs, "SOAR" and Reading Renaissance, supported language. Teachers at DMS wrote and received grants totaling \$6000.

Awards for the year included: the Industrial Tech Lego team represented SC, finishing 10th in the nation in the Lego Robotics competition; DuBose received the Spirit Award at the Math and Science Challenge; the chorus received first place in regional competition; and Boys' Basketball, Wrestling and Baseball teams were District Champions.

With the support of the PTSA, School Improvement Council, faculty, staff, parents, and community members, we were able to invite parents and community members to participate in after-school athletic activities, patriotic celebrations and fine arts activities.

At DuBose Middle School, we maintained our accreditation with the Southern Association of Colleges. The review team stated in its report, "Parents and stakeholders feel as though their voices are heard and that they are considered active participants in the educational process." At DuBose Middle School, we will continue to work hard to meet our goals and continue to be "Champions for Children."

Raymond Burke, Principal

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.